

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

This amendment adds, changes and/or deletes claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 have been amended and claims 2 and 3 have been cancelled. Claims 1, and 4-21 remain pending in the present application.

The dependencies of claims 16 and 17 have been corrected to obviate the claim objections on the referenced office action.

Appropriate amendments have been made to claims 1 and 15 in order to obviate the section 101 rejection, which was made for the first time in this final rejection.

The language "that is not a proxy server" has been removed from claims 1, 15 and 21 to obviate the rejection under 35 USC 112 for lack of support, although applicants reserve the right to revisit this issue in a later prosecution.

Claim 1 have been amended to obviate the rejection under 35 USC 112 , second paragraph.

Claims 1-21 were rejected under 35 USC 103 over Hamzy in view of Kirsch. This rejection is respectfully traversed and reconsideration thereof is requested.

Hamzy utilizes a proxy server to intercept a document requested by a browser in order to add a "print button" to existing document content. Although the printer to which the "print button" refers may be determine by a user profile per column 5, lines 49-67, there is no indication in Hamzy that the user profile was anything other than a standard user profile associated with the proxy server. In particular, there is nothing in Hamzy to indicate that the user profile was associated even with a federated identify system such as "liberty alliance" or "MyServices" (which the "personal imaging repository" is).

Claim 1 has been amended to include at least one processor at a client programmed with client software for receiving content from an imaging source at an external web site, wherein the content includes a designator to take a processing action with respect to at least a portion of the content; redirector software loaded in the client that uses a programmatic interface to obtain information; the redirector software being executed to access the programmatic interface to obtain the information; the redirector software changing at least one designator to be displayed based on the information; and software for initiating a display of the changed at least one designator.

In contrast to the amended claim. Hamzy does not download into the client an API-calling software. Additionally, Hamzy does not change the designators to be displayed based upon information obtained from the API. As noted, Hamzy simply provides a proxy server.

Kirsch does not remedy the foregoing deficiencies. Moreover, one of ordinary skill would not be motivated to modify Hamzy with Kirsch, and there is no enablement explaining to one of ordinary skill how such a modification could take place that would meet applicants' claims.

In view of the foregoing amendments and Remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is solicited and an early passage to issue is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 23, 2005

By



William T. Ellis
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 26,874

Telephone: (202) 672-5485
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399